UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,588	03/25/2004	Susann Marie Keohane	AUS920040028US1	7130	
35525 IBM CORP (YA	7590 06/16/200 A)	EXAMINER			
C/O YEE & AS	SOCIATES PC	LOFTIS, JOHNNA RONEE			
P.O. BOX 8023 DALLAS, TX 7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3623		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/16/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeeiplaw.com

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			309,588	KEOHANE ET A	AL.			
			niner	Art Unit	T			
		JOH	NNA R. LOFTIS	3623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum is re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE C s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir munication. tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMU n no event, however, ma and will expire SIX (6) N he application to become	INICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>28 May 20</i>	08					
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action						
3)		<i>′</i> —		natters prosecution as to the	he merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
· · ·		in the annlication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1,7 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
'=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7 and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.					
	ion Papers		•					
	-	a Evaminar						
-	The specification is objected to by th The drawing(s) filed on <i>25 March 20</i>		accepted or b)	objected to by the Evernin	or			
10)[<u> </u>		• •	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection and applications and action including about (a) including							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
,—	•	o by the Examine	ii. Note the attac	ned Office Action of form 1	10-102.			
<u> </u>	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
+ 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/25/04</u> .		6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/809,588 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a first office action upon examination of application number 10/809,588. Claims 2-6, 8-14 and 16-24 cancelled in preliminary amendment dated 5/28/08. Claims 1, 7 and 15 are pending and have been examined on the merits discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 7 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dailey et al, US 6,363,352, and further in view of Walther et al, US 2003/0217073.
- As per claim 1, Dailey et al teaches initializing a calendar system (column 7, line 63 column 8, line 12 personal information manager stores and organizes appointments, names, etc., used for coordinating meetings); generating an invitation list (column 5, lines 5-15 each meeting participant is sent an email message to participate in the meeting); sending an invitation to a first scheduled event having a scheduled event time to a plurality of users in the invitation list (column 5, lines 5-15 each meeting participant is sent an email message to participate in the meeting); receiving a plurality of acceptance messages associated with the first scheduled event having the scheduled event time, each of the plurality of acceptance messages associated with a respective user of the plurality of users (column 8, lines 1-12 acceptance or refusal of a meeting invitation is generated). In addition to above, Dailey et al teaches considering

Art Unit: 3623

availability of participants (column 11, lines 41-62) and entering meeting invitations into a calendar (column 14, lines 1-8) but does not explicitly teach identifying a schedule conflict of a user associated with an acceptance message of the plurality of acceptance messages, wherein identifying the schedule conflict comprises; accessing a schedule store of a data processing system that generated the acceptance message; responsive to identifying the schedule conflict, calculating a probably attendance from the plurality of acceptance messages; displaying each of the users and the respective probability value in a user interface; forming a meeting status value from the calculated probable attendance from the plurality of acceptance messages; and conveying the meeting status value to at least one user associated with one of the plurality of acceptance messages. Walther et al teaches considering attendee availability (pp0049) wherein criteria are analyzed to determine a meeting that appropriately satisfies the criteria. During the evaluation of predetermined criteria, the system calculates a probability of the number of meeting attendants who will be available to attend the meeting a specific time and location (pp0052). This percentage is output to the user wherein the user can view the probability using the user interface (fig 2 – output device; see also 0043-0052). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the meeting system of Dailey et al with the probability calculation of Walther et al as a way to more accurately view attendance rates for purposes of scheduling meetings at appropriate times. This would ensure higher attendance rates.

As per claims 7 and 15, they are the computer program in a computer readable medium and system, respectively, for performing the method of claim 1 and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,588 Page 4

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Heck, Mike – Organizer upgrade adds collaborative features – InfoWorld v19n28 - July 14, 1997

Capek et al, US 2003/0204474 – event scheduling with optimization

Capek et al, US 7,343,312 – event scheduling with optimization

Conmy et al, US 6,101,480 – electronic calendar with group scheduling and automated scheduling

Conmy et al, US 7,082,402 – electronic calendar with group scheduling and storage of user and resource profile

Cragun et al, US 2003/0149605 – method and meeting scheduler for automated meeting scheduling using delegates, representatives, quorums and teams

McGaughey. III et al, US 4,977,520 – method to facilitate a reply to electronic meeting invitation is an interactive multi-terminal system employing electronic calendars

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHNNA R. LOFTIS whose telephone number is (571)272-6736. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Beth Van Doren can be reached on 571-272-6737. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,588 Page 5

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/jl/ 6/7/08 /Jonathan G. Sterrett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623